

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/602,314	06/24/2003	Carol A. Siegel	26881 USA	9016	
7590 09/02/2004			EXAMINER		
John A. Chionchio, Esquire			HOGE, GARY CHAPMAN		
Synnestvedt & Lechner LLP Suite 2600 1101 Market Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3611		
Philadelphia, P.	A 19107-2950		DATE MAILED: 09/02/2004	DATE MAILED: 09/02/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/602,314	SIEGEL, CAROL A.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Gary C Hoge	3611			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period reto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from tte, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed  s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)🖂	4) Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> is/are pending in the application.					
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
7)						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
	application from the International Bure	•	· ·			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachmen	t(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
	2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>6/24/03</u> . 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/602,314 Page 2

Art Unit: 3611

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on

sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1-6, 8-13, 15, 17-26, 28 and 30-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being

anticipated by Royal.

Royal discloses a display comprising a first display surface 20 having a first perimeter; a

second display surface 13 having a second perimeter, a viewing aperture 14 extending through

the second display surface 13 and defined by an aperture perimeter, the second display surface

13 being positioned substantially overlying and in spaced relation to the first display surface (see

Fig. 3), a portion of the first display surface 20 being visible through the viewing aperture 14;

and a frame assembly 11 surrounding the first and second display surfaces and engaging the first

and second perimeters (see Fig. 3), at least a portion of the aperture perimeter being inwardly

disposed in spaced relation relative to the frame assembly (see Fig. 2), the frame assembly 11

maintaining the display surfaces in the overlying spaced relation.

Regarding claims 3, 10 and 17, see Fig. 2. Royal discloses a frame assembly including a

first frame 17 positioned between the first 20 and the second 13 display surfaces, and a second

frame 11 positioned overlying the first frame 17, the second display surface 13 being sandwiched

between the first 17 and second 11 frames.

Application/Control Number: 10/602,314 Page 3

Art Unit: 3611

Regarding claims 5, 12, 19 and 25, both of the frames (11, 17) have approximately the same height and width, they are both rectangular, and they both have large, similarly-sized apertures in the middle thereof. Therefore, they are "substantially the same size and shape."

Regarding claims 8, 15, 22 and 28, see column 4, lines 39-41.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 7, 14 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Royal in view of Weisgerber.

Royal discloses the invention substantially as claimed, as set forth above. However, the second display surface only has one aperture. Weisgerber discloses that it was known in the art to provide a display surface having a plurality of apertures. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the display disclosed by Royal with a display surface having a plurality of apertures, as taught by Weisgerber, in order to display multiple items.

5. Claims 16 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Royal in view of Hadden.

Royal discloses the invention substantially as claimed, as set forth above. However, the frame 11 appears to be a unitary construction. Hadden discloses that it was known in the art to make a frame out of individual members attached to one another end to end. It would have been

Art Unit: 3611

obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the frame disclosed by Royal out of individual members attached to one another end to end, as taught by Hadden, as an obvious matter of choice in design..

#### Conclusion

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gary C Hoge whose telephone number is (703) 308-3422. The examiner can normally be reached on 5-4-9.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lesley Morris can be reached on (703) 308-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Gary C Hoge Primary Examiner Art Unit 3611

gch